- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Lumme, P. O. & Lindell, E. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 463-465.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL/PC User's Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Veidis, M. V., Dockum, B., Charron, F. F. Jr, Reiff, W. M. & Brennan, T. F. (1981). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **53**, L197–L199.
- Wieghardt, K. (1989). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 28, 1153-1172.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 361-364

$[Ru(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})(\eta^{4}-C_{5}H_{4}O)\{As(CH_{3})_{3}\}]PF_{6}$ and [Ru(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})(\eta^{4}-C_{5}H_{4}O)-{As(C_{6}H_{5})_{3}}]PF_{6}

KARL KIRCHNER AND ROLAND SCHMID

Institute for Inorganic Chemistry, Technical University of Vienna, Getreidemarkt 9, A-1060 Vienna, Austria

KURT MEREITER

Institute for Mineralogy, Crystallography and Structural Chemistry, Technical University of Vienna, Getreidemarkt 9, A-1060 Vienna, Austria

(Received 13 July 1993; accepted 23 May 1994)

Abstract

As part of studies on the syntheses and chemical reactions of ruthenium η^5 -cyclopentadienyl- η^4 cyclopentadienone complexes, the crystal structures of the two title compounds, $(\eta^4$ -cyclopentadienone) $(\eta^5$ cyclopentadienyl)(trimethylarsine)ruthenium hexafluorophosphate and $(\eta^4$ -cyclopentadienone) $(\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)(triphenylarsine)ruthenium hexafluorophosphate, have been determined from single-crystal Xray diffraction data. The metal complexes of the two title compounds are both of a bent-sandwich type, but differ somewhat in conformation. The cyclopentadienone moieties of both compounds are distinctly puckered at the C(2) and C(4) atoms, and the C=O groups are bent away from the Ru atom. Ru-C bond lengths vary from 2.137 (4) to 2.248 (4) Å in (I), and from 2.159 (4) to 2.271 (4) Å in (II). Ru—As bond lengths are 2.491 (1) Å in (I) and 2.512(1) Å in (II).

Comment

Cyclopentadienone in the free state is a highly unstable molecule which dimerizes rapidly but can be stabilized by coordination with transition metals. The accessibility of such complexes is limited, however, since they can be obtained only *via* reactions on certain precursor complexes. The syntheses and reactions of two Ru complexes containing unsubstituted cyclopentadienyl (CP) and cyclopentadienone (CPD), [Ru(η^5 -C₅H₅)(η^4 -C₅H₄O)]₂(PF₆)₂ (Kirchner & Taube, 1991) and [Ru(η^5 -C₅H₅)(η^4 -C₅H₄O)(CH₃CN)]PF₆ (Kirchner, Taube, Scott & Willett, 1993), have been described recently. It was shown that these complexes react with various nucleophiles by substitution on either the metal centre, the CP or the CDP ligand, thus yielding a range of new complexes. While the nucleophiles P(CH₃)₃ and P(C₆H₅)₃ were found to attack the two complexes by substitution on the CP or CPD ligands (Kirchner, Mereiter, Schmid & Taube, 1993), the corresponding arsines displayed ligand substitution on the metal centre.

A view of the metal complex (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The two C_5 rings adopt a staggered conformation in which the cyclopentadienone molecule is oriented so that its C=O group is almost coplanar with the Ru-As bond, as can be seen from the dihedral angle As-Ru-C(6)—O of $0.7 (4)^{\circ}$. This and the specific orientation of the $As(CH_3)_3$ ligand cause complex (I) to have nearly mirror symmetry, where Ru, As, C(3), C(6), O and C(11) are approximately in the same plane (0.037 Å r.m.s. deviation of these atoms from the corresponding least-squares plane), while the remaining atoms of the complex lie on either side. In comparison with (I), the triphenylarsine compound (II) (Tables 3 and 4) is less symmetric. Here, the configuration of the two C_5 rings lies between staggered and eclipsed, and the bulky As(C₆H₅)₃ group is rotated by $ca 30^{\circ}$ about the Ru—As bond in comparison to the As(CH₃)₃ group (Fig. 1).



Bond lengths and angles of the Ru complexes in (I) and (II) are similar and agree with the values observed in the related compounds Ru(CP)(CPD)Br, $[Ru(CP)(CPD)(CH_3CN)]PF_6$ and $[Ru(CP)(CPD){P (OC_6H_5)_3$]PF₆ (Smith, Kwan, Taube, Bino & Cohen, 1984; Kirchner, Mereiter, Schmid & Taube, 1993; Kirchner, Taube, Scott & Willett, 1993). Selected mean bond lengths for (I) and (II), respectively, are: $\langle Ru - C_{CP} \rangle$ 2.203 (3), 2.203 (5) Å; $\langle Ru - C_{CPD} \rangle$ 2.194 (4), 2.214 (4) Å; $\langle C--C \rangle_{CP}$ 1.410 (5), 1.397 (8) Å; $(C-C)_{CPD} = 1.429 (6), 1.431 (6) \text{ Å}$. The CPD molecules of both compounds display typical behaviour: in their butadiene part [C(7)-C(10)] they exhibit a short-longshort pattern of C-C bond lengths with overall mean values of 1.395 (6) Å (short) and 1.415 (7) Å (long); the CPD molecules are distinctly puckered at the atoms C(7) and C(10), with the C=O group bent away from the metal centre (Fig. 1), and with puckering angles of 21.5 (2)° for (I) and 23.1 (2)° for (II), defined as the angles between the least-squares planes through C(7)-C(8)-C(9)-C(10) and C(7)-C(6)(=O)-C(10); the Ru-C bond lengths to C(8) and C(9) are shorter than those to C(7) and C(10) by about 0.1 Å. The angle between the least-squares planes through the CP ring and through the butadiene part of the CPD ring is 34.5 (2)° in (I) and 36.3 (3)° in (II). The corresponding figures for Ru(CP)(CPD)Br, [Ru(CP)(CPD)(CH₃CN)]PF₆ and [Ru(CP)(CPD){P(OC₆H₅)₃}]PF₆ are 36.2, 36.0 and 36.5°, respectively, and the puckering angles of their CPD molecules 20.6, 18.0 and 23.7°, repectively.

Bond lengths for the two arsine ligands are in good agreement with literature data. The Ru—As bond lengths of 2.491 (1) Å in (I) and 2.512 (1) Å in (II) may



Fig. 1. Perspective ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) plots of the sandwich complexes in (I) and (II) with atom numbering. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 30% probability level. H atoms in (II) have been omitted for clarity.

be compared with 2.516 and 2.536 Å in $[(\eta-H)_3Ru_3(\eta^3-CC_6H_5)(CO)_7{As(C_6H_5)_3}_2]$ (Rahman, Beanan, Bavaro, Modi, Keister & Churchill, 1984), and 2.448–2.468 Å in marcasite-type RuAs₂ (Kjekshus, Rakke & Andresen, 1977).

Experimental

The trimethylarsine compound, (I), was prepared from equimolar amounts of $[Ru(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(\eta^4-C_5H_4O)(CH_3CN)]PF_6$ and As(CH₃)₃ in nitromethane (Kirchner, Mereiter, Schmid & Taube 1993), and was recrystallized from nitromethane/ether. Compound (II) was obtained in an analogous fashion using As(C₆H₅)₃ (Kirchner, Taube, Scott & Willett, 1993).

Compound (I)

Crystal data

 $[Ru(C_5H_5)(C_5H_4O)-$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ $As(CH_3)_3$]PF₆ $M_r = 511.24$ Cell parameters from 25 Monoclinic reflections $\theta = 14-26^{\circ}$ $P2_{1}/c$ $\mu = 3.03 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ a = 8.421 (2) Å T = 294 Kb = 11.421 (2) Å Prism c = 17.431 (4) Å $0.50\,\times\,0.33\,\times\,0.22$ mm $\beta = 93.84 (1)^{\circ}$ Yellow V = 1672.7 (6) Å³ Z = 4 $D_x = 2.030 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection Philips PW1100 diffractometer $\theta/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: by Gaussian integration from crystal shape $T_{min} = 0.46$, $T_{max} = 0.52$ 4087 measured reflections 3657 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F R = 0.026 wR = 0.030 S = 1.31 2888 reflections 232 parameters H-atom positions refined with riding model (C—H 0.96 Å) w = $[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.0002F_o^2]$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.01$

Compound (II) Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} [Ru(C_5H_5)(C_5H_4O)-\\ \left\{As(C_6H_5)_3\right\}]PF_6 \end{array}$

Yellow 2888 observed reflections $[F_o > 6\sigma(F_o)]$ $R_{int} = 0.011$ $\theta_{max} = 27^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 10$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 14$

- $l = -22 \rightarrow 22$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity decay: 1.2%
- $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.45 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.59 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ {\rm Extinction \ correction:} \\ SHELX76 \ ({\rm Sheldrick,} \\ 1976) \\ {\rm Extinction \ coefficient:} \\ \chi = 0.00204 \ (7) \\ {\rm Atomic \ scattering \ factors} \\ {\rm from \ International \ Tables} \\ {\rm for \ X-ray \ Crystallography} \\ (1974, \ {\rm Vol. \ IV, \ Table} \\ 2.2B) \end{array}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å

KARL KIRCHNER, ROLAND SCHMID AND KURT MEREITER

$M_r = 697.45$	Cell parameters from 48	F(4')	0.788 (2)	0.376 (1)	-0.047 (1)	0.116 (7)
Monoclinic	reflections	F(5')	0.742 (1)	0.395 (1)	0.0761 (9)	0.113 (7)
$P2_{1}/c$	$\theta = 6-22^{\circ}$	F(6')	0.610(1)	0.236(1)	0.0664 (9)	0.116 (7)
a = 8.076 (1) Å	$\mu = 1.967 \text{ mm}^{-1}$					
b = 24.399 (4) Å	T = 295 K	Table 2	2. Fractional	atomic cool	rdinates and	equivalent
c = 13.337 (2) Å	Plate	is	otronic displa	cement nara	meters $(Å^2)$ for	$r(\mathbf{H})$
$\beta = 96.52 (1)^{\circ}$	$0.35 \times 0.28 \times 0.07$ mm	isotropic displacement parameters (A) for (II)				
V = 2611.0 (7) Å ³	Yellow	$U_{eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$				
Z = 4			r	v	7	llan
$D_r = 1.774 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$		Ru	0.60922 (4)	0.37680 (1)	0.20020 (2)	0.0361 (2)
		C(1)	0.8523 (6)	0.3781 (3)	0.2937 (4)	0.070 (3)
Data collection		C(2)	0.8806 (6)	0.3882 (3)	0.1951 (5)	0.080 (4)
Dulu conection		C(3)	0.7956 (7)	0.4374 (3)	0.1652 (4)	0.082 (4)
Philips PW1100 diffractom-	3220 observed reflections	C(4)	0.7175 (7)	0.4570 (2)	0.2470 (4)	0.076 (4)
eter	$[F_{\alpha} > 6\sigma(F_{\alpha})]$	C(5)	0.7530 (7)	0.4200 (2)	0.3254 (4)	0.070 (3)
$\theta/2\theta$ scans	$R_{int} = 0.010$	C(6)	0.3347 (5)	0.3272 (2)	0.1216 (3)	0.047 (2)
Abcomption correction:	$A = 25^{\circ}$	C(7)	0.4995 (5)	0.3107 (2)	0.0936 (3)	0.043 (2)
Absorption confection.	$U_{\text{max}} = 25$	C(8)	0.5615 (5)	0.3546 (2)	0.0426 (3)	0.049 (2)
by Gaussian integration	$h = 0 \rightarrow 9$	C(9)	0.46/(5)	0.4019 (2)	0.0607 (3)	0.052(3)
from crystal shape	$k = 0 \rightarrow 28$	C(10)	0.3460 (3)	0.3873(2)	0.1220(3)	0.051(3)
$T_{\rm min} = 0.54, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.87$	$l = -15 \rightarrow 15$	0 Ac	0.2203(3)	0.2967(1)	0.1413(2) 0.34355(3)	0.008(2)
4735 measured reflections	3 standard reflections	C(11)	0.49009(4)	0.32709(2)	0.34333(3) 0.4544(3)	0.0313(2)
4586 independent reflections	frequency: 120 min	C(12)	0.6869 (5)	0.3097(2) 0.3398(2)	0.4344(3) 0.5429(3)	0.035(2)
1500 macpendent reneetions	intensity decay: 0.8%	C(12)	0.8057 (5)	0.3255(2)	0.6217 (3)	0.053 (3)
	intensity decay. 0.8 /	C(14)	0.9059 (5)	0.2810 (2)	0.6127 (3)	0.051 (3)
		C(15)	0.8913 (5)	0.2515 (2)	0.5252 (3)	0.049 (3)
Refinement		C(16)	0.7738 (5)	0.2654 (2)	0.4463 (3)	0.044 (2)
Definement on F	$\Delta a = 0.47 a ^{\lambda-3}$	C(17)	0.3936 (4)	0.2556 (2)	0.3251 (3)	0.036 (2)
	$\Delta p_{max} = 0.47 \text{ e A}$	C(18)	0.4813 (5)	0.2149 (2)	0.2808 (3)	0.044 (2)
R = 0.028	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}$	C(19)	0.4234 (5)	0.1616 (2)	0.2760 (3)	0.053 (3)
wR = 0.027	Extinction correction:	C(20)	0.2775 (6)	0.1488 (2)	0.3143 (3)	0.055 (3)
S = 1.14	SHELX76 (Sheldrick,	C(21)	0.1869 (6)	0.1888 (2)	0.3549 (3)	0.056 (3)
3220 reflections	1976)	C(22)	0.2434 (5)	0.2429 (2)	0.3603 (3)	0.044 (2)
345 parameters	Extinction coefficient:	C(23)	0.346/(4)	0.3/22(2)	0.4137(3)	0.037(2)
		C(24)	0.3111 (5)	0.4248 (2)	0.3795 (3)	0.047(2)
H-atom positions refined	$\chi = 0.00031$ (4)	C(25)	0.2134 (6)	0.4591(2)	0.4311(3)	0.065(3)
with riding model (C—H	Atomic scattering factors	C(20)	0.1323(0) 0.1967(5)	0.4410(2)	0.5109(3)	0.000 (3)
0.96 Å)	from International Tables	C(27)	0.1807(5) 0.2843(5)	0.3543 (2)	0.5512(3)	0.030(3)
$w = [\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.0001F_o^2]$	for X-ray Crystallography	D	0.2345(3)	0.44766 (5)	-0.15989(9)	0.042(2) 0.0522(7)
$(\Delta/\sigma) < 0.01$	(1974 Vol IV Table	F(1)	0 7998 (4)	0.3841(1)	-0.1432(2)	0.082(2)
	2 2B)	F(2)	0.9583 (3)	0.4326(1)	-0.2382 (2)	0.090 (2)
	2.20)	F(3)	0.6871 (3)	0.4490 (1)	-0.2474 (2)	0.090 (2)
		F(4)	0.7086 (4)	0.4629(1)	-0.0808 (2)	0.110 (2)
Table 1. Fractional atomic	coordinates and equivalent	F(5)	0.9827 (4)	0.4451 (1)	-0.0724 (2)	0.116 (3)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (I)

$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$

Table 3. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (I)

0.5104(1)

-0.1770 (2)

0.087 (2)

0.8678 (3)

						. 0	1 、	
	x	у	Ζ	U_{eq}	Ru - C(1)	2.195 (3)	C(6)—O	1.219 (5)
Ru	0.29625 (3)	0.39181 (2)	0.23899 (1)	0.0334 (1)	Ru - C(2)	2.214 (3)	C(7) - C(8)	1,389 (6)
C(1)	0.5041 (4)	0.4439 (3)	0.3139 (2)	0.053 (2)	$R_{II} \rightarrow C(3)$	2 206 (3)	C(8) - C(9)	1.413 (7)
C(2)	0.5577 (4)	0.4148 (3)	0.2426 (2)	0.051 (2)	$R_{\mu} \rightarrow C(4)$	2.200(3)	C(9) - C(10)	1.401 (6)
C(3)	0.4863 (4)	0.4920 (3)	0.1876 (2)	0.049 (2)	$R_{II} \rightarrow C(5)$	2 191 (3)	$A_{s} = C(11)$	1 943 (4)
C(4)	0.3879 (4)	0.5713 (3)	0.2252 (2)	0.051 (2)	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{u}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(6)$	2.614 (4)	$A_{s} = C(12)$	1 937 (4)
C(5)	0.3977 (4)	0.5423 (3)	0.3030 (2)	0.052 (2)	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{C}(0)$	2.014(4) 2.248(4)	$A_{S} = C(13)$	1.925 (4)
C(6)	0.0505 (4)	0.2561 (3)	0.2075 (2)	0.057 (2)	$R_{\rm H} = C(8)$	2.240(4)	$P_{F(1)}$	1.525 (1)
C(7)	0.2140 (5)	0.2140 (3)	0.1978 (2)	0.061 (2)	$R_{\rm H} = C(0)$	2.140(4)	$P_{}F(2)$	1.576 (3)
C(8)	0.2776 (6)	0.2793 (4)	0.1401 (2)	0.073 (3)	$R_{\rm H} = C(10)$	2.137(4)	$P_{-}F(3)$	1.570 (5)
C(9)	0.1790 (6)	0.3784 (4)	0.1268 (2)	0.076 (3)	$R_{\rm H} = \Delta c$	2.241 (4)	$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A})$	1.577 (0)
C(10)	0.0556 (5)	0.3753 (3)	0.1768 (2)	0.060(2)	C(1) $C(2)$	1 201 (5)	P = E(5)	1.555 (5)
0	-0.0577 (3)	0.2066 (3)	0.2376 (2)	0.078 (2)	C(1) = C(2)	1.371 (3)	P = F(6)	1.514 (0)
As	0.16705 (4)	0.34955 (3)	0.36042 (2)	0.0419 (2)	C(1) = C(3)	1.445 (5)	P = F(3')	1.505 (5)
C(11)	0.2632 (6)	0.4145 (4)	0.4556 (2)	0.074 (3)	C(2) = C(3)	1,408 (5)	$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}')$	1.52(2)
C(12)	-0.0448(5)	0.4151 (4)	0.3622 (3)	0.076 (3)	C(3) = C(4)	1.410(3)	F = F(4')	1.54 (2)
C(13)	0.1526 (5)	0.1880 (3)	0.3907 (3)	0.069 (3)	C(4) = C(3)	1.393 (3)	$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{S})$	1.30(2)
Р	0.7063(1)	0.30149 (8)	0.01187 (5)	0.0471 (5)	C(0) = C(1)	1.479(3)	r = r(0)	1.49 (2)
F(1)	0.5433 (3)	0.3669 (3)	-0.0064(2)	0.112 (2)	C(0) = C(10)	1.405 (5)		
F(2)	0.8680 (3)	0.2348 (2)	0.0307(1)	0.090 (2)	C(2)—C(1)—C(5)	107.7 (3)	C(7)—C(8)—C(9)	107.4 (4)
F(3)	0.626(1)	0.1771 (5)	0.0024 (7)	0.150 (4)	C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	108.0 (3)	C(8)—C(9)—C(10)	109.2 (4)
F(4)	0.734(1)	0.2997 (9)	-0.0753(3)	0.126 (4)	C(2)—C(3)—C(4)	108.8 (3)	C(6)—C(10)—C(9)	107.0 (3)
F(5)	0.793 (1)	0.4172 (5)	0.0233 (7)	0.150 (4)	C(3)—C(4)—C(5)	107.4 (3)	Ru-As-C(11)	118.1 (1)
F(6)	0.6864 (9)	0.2956 (9)	0.1003 (3)	0.126 (4)	C(1)—C(5)—C(4)	108.0 (3)	Ru—As—C(12)	113.2 (1)
F(3')	0.657 (1)	0.215(1)	-0.0518 (9)	0.113 (7)	C(7)—C(6)—C(10)	102.1 (3)	Ru—As—C(13)	117.3 (1)

F(6)

C(10)C(6)O 129.0 (4) C(11)AsC(13)	99.3 (2)
C(6)C(7)C(8) 108.4 (3) C(12)AsC(13)	106.9 (2)

Table 4. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (II)

RuC(1)	2.203 (4)	C(11)—C(12)	1.384 (5)
RuC(2)	2.218 (5)	C(11)—C(16)	1.391 (6)
Ru—C(3)	2.197 (6)	C(12)—C(13)	1.384 (5)
Ru—C(4)	2.205 (5)	C(13)—C(14)	1.369 (7)
Ru—C(5)	2.193 (5)	C(14)—C(15)	1.363 (6)
Ru· · ·C(6)	2.636 (4)	C(15)—C(16)	1.377 (5)
Ru—C(7)	2.262 (4)	C(17)—C(18)	1.390 (6)
Ru-C(8)	2.163 (4)	C(17)—C(22)	1.385 (5)
Ru—C(9)	2.159 (4)	C(18)—C(19)	1.381 (6)
Ru-C(10)	2.271 (4)	C(19)—C(20)	1.373 (7)
Ru—As	2.5123 (6)	C(20)-C(21)	1.368 (7)
C(1)—C(2)	1.382 (8)	C(21)—C(22)	1.395 (6)
C(1)—C(5)	1.395 (8)	C(23)—C(24)	1.381 (6)
C(2)—C(3)	1.417 (9)	C(23)—C(28)	1.391 (5)
C(3)—C(4)	1.405 (8)	C(24)—C(25)	1.385 (6)
C(4)—C(5)	1.386 (7)	C(25)—C(26)	1.369 (7)
C(6)—C(7)	1.478 (6)	C(26)—C(27)	1.371 (7)
C(6)—C(10)	1.473 (7)	C(27)—C(28)	1.378 (6)
C(6)—O	1.210 (5)	P—F(1)	1.594 (3)
C(7)—C(8)	1.392 (6)	P—F(2)	1.578 (3)
C(8)—C(9)	1.416 (6)	PF(3)	1.578 (3)
C(9)—C(10)	1.398 (6)	P—F(4)	1.581 (4)
As—C(11)	1.953 (3)	P—F(5)	1.567 (3)
As-C(17)	1.949 (4)	P—F(6)	1.578 (3)
As-C(23)	1.944 (4)		
C(2) - C(1) - C(5)	108.7 (5)	C(7)—C(8)—C(9)	108.3 (4)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	107.0 (5)	C(8)-C(9)-C(10)	108.7 (4)
C(2)—C(3)—C(4)	108.4 (5)	C(6)-C(10)-C(9)	107.0 (4)
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	106.9 (5)	Ru-As-C(11)	113.4 (1)
C(1) - C(5) - C(4)	109.0 (5)	Ru - As - C(17)	121.4 (1)
C(7) - C(6) - C(10)	102.6 (4)	Ru—As—C(23)	113.5(1)
C(7)—C(6)—O	129.1 (4)	C(11)—As— $C(17)$	98.5 (2)
C(10)-C(6)-O	128.3 (4)	C(11)—As— $C(23)$	100.8 (1)
C(6)—C(7)—C(8)	107.3 (4)	C(17)—As—C(23)	106.5 (2)
			/

For both compounds, data collection, data reduction and cell refinement were carried out using the programs SERVER4, PW115 and LLSQ6 (Mereiter & Völlenkle, 1990). After applying corrections for absorption, both structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix leastsquares with SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). H atoms were generated in idealized positions (C-H = 0.96 Å). CP, CPD and benzene H atoms were refined as riding on their parent atoms, methyl H atoms of (I) were refined as rigid CH₃ groups. The isotropic displacement parameters of the H atoms in (I) were set to $1.30 \times U_{eq}$ of the parent C atoms, and in (II), to 1.11 \times U_{eq} of the parent C atoms. Disorder in the octahedral PF₆⁻ anion of (I) was modelled by allowing split occupancies for four of the F atoms. Site occupation factor for F(3)-F(6) refined to PP = 0.664(5), and for F(3')-F(6') to 1-PP = 0.336 (5). Molecular graphics and tabular matter were produced with Xtal3.2 (Hall, Flack & Stewart, 1992).

Support by Professor Dr A. Preisinger and by the Austrian Research Council FWF (projects 2178 and 8662) is gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and bond distances and angles involving non-H atoms have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: KA1055). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Hall, S. R., Flack, H. D. & Stewart, J. M. (1992). Editors. Xtal3.2 Reference Manual. Univs. of Western Australia, Australia, Geneva, Switzerland, and Maryland, USA.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kirchner, K., Mereiter, K., Schmid, R. & Taube, H. (1993). *Inorg. Chem.* 32, 5553–5561.
- Kirchner, K. & Taube, H. (1991). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113, 7039-7040.
- Kirchner, K., Taube, H., Scott, B. & Willett, R. D. (1993). Inorg. Chem. 32, 1430–1434.
- Kjekshus, A., Rakke, T. & Andresen, A. F. (1977). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A, 31, 253–259.
- Mereiter, K. & Völlenkle, H. (1990). SERVER4, PW115 and LLSQ6. PC Programs for Controlling a PW1100 Four-Circle Diffractometer, for Data Reduction and for Unit-Cell Least-Squares Refinement. Technical Univ. of Vienna, Austria.
- Rahman, Z. A., Beanan, L. R., Bavaro, L. M., Modi, S. P., Keister, J. B. & Churchill, M. R. (1984). J. Organomet. Chem. 263, 75–92.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Smith, T. P., Kwan, K. S., Taube, H., Bino, A. & Cohen, S. (1984). Inorg. Chem. 23, 1943–1945.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 364-367

[CpMoCl(PMe₃)₃][BF₄] and [Cp*MoCl(PMe₃)₃][PF₆]

JAMES C. FETTINGER, HEINZ-BERNHARD KRAATZ AND RINALDO POLI*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

ARNOLD L. RHEINGOLD

Department of Chemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19719, USA

(Received 18 January 1994; accepted 2 August 1994)

Abstract

Chloro $(\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)tris(trimethylphosphine)molybdenum(III) tetrafluoroborate, [MoCl(C₅H₅)-(C₃H₉P)₃][BF₄], was obtained from the oxidation of [MoH(C₅H₅)(PMe₃)₃] by AgBF₄, followed by crystallization from dichloromethane. Chloro $(\eta^5$ -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)tris(trimethylphosphine)molybdenum-(III) hexafluorophosphate, [MoCl(C₁₀H₁₅)(C₃H₉P)₃]-[PF₆], was obtained from the reaction of [MoCl₂-(C₁₀H₁₅)(C₃H₉P)₂] with TlPF₆ and PMe₃ in dichloromethane. Both cations adopt a four-legged piano-stool arrangement.